Art Unit: 2625

Request for Reconsideration

Attorney Docket No.: 042048

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application. Reconsideration in view of the

following remarks is respectfully requested.

As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner set forth the following rejection:

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e0 as being anticipated by Bannai (USP

6,587,226).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 calls for an instant message generating unit which generates an

instant message from the prescribed information; and a transmission unit which transmits the

generated instant message to a client that can use instant message service. Independent claims 7

and 13 include similar features.

With regard to the instant message generating unit feature of claim 1, the Examiner

asserts on page 3 of the Action that the Bannai reference discloses an instant message generating

unit (PC 12 of fig 2), display instant message [i.e., priority data] which generates an instant

message from the prescribed information received from facsimile device urgent or important

- 2 -

Art Unit: 2625

Request for Reconsideration

Attorney Docket No.: 042048

facsimile received (PC 12 of fig 2, display instant message [i.e., priority data, or urgent or

important data, see fig 7].

However, while the Bannai reference may disclose, as illustrated in Fig. 7, that a message

regarding "an urgent (important) facsimile is received" is displayed by a pop up window in the

display device of the PC 12, it is respectfully submitted that the generation of this display

message by the PC 12 fails to teach an instant message generating unit which generates an

instant message from the prescribed information, as called for in claim 1.

For example, as discussed on pages 5 and 6 of the present specification, an instant

message server 14 ("IM server 14") is a server for offering instant message service ("IM

service"). For example, the IM service includes service for offering information indicating

whether or not a user of the IM service ("IM user") can transmit and receive a message

(hereinafter referred to as the "presence service"), and service for communicating a message

between the IM users (hereinafter referred to as the "message service"). The "instant message"

can be a generic name of the presence service and the message service, or it can indicate one of

the presence service or the message service. In short, the instant message is the information

transmitted in the IM service.

In view of the above noted disclosure provided in the present specification, it is

respectfully submitted that the PC 12 of Bannai fails to constitute an instant message generating

- 3 -

Art Unit: 2625

Request for Reconsideration

Attorney Docket No.: 042048

unit, since the PC 12 merely generates a message for display and fails to generate an instant

message that can be transmitted to a user in an instant message service.

Further, while the Examiner is entitled to the broadest readable claim interpretation

during prosecution, it is submitted that the Examiner's interpretation that the PC 12 meets the

instant message generating unit feature of claim 1 since it generates a display message is

inconsistent with the disclosure set forth in the present specification regarding instant message

service. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's claim interpretation is

improper, since it is inconsistent with the disclosure set forth in the present specification.

Moreover, it is submitted that the message generated by the PC 12 regarding receiving an

urgent facsimile also fails to constitute an instant message, as defined in the present specification.

In addition, with regard to feature of claim 1 regarding a transmitting unit which

transmits the generated instant message to a client that can use instant message service, the

Examiner asserts that the Bannai reference discloses, "a transmission unit which transmits the

generated instant message to a client that can use instant message service (facsimile unit of fig 2),

transmit message to client PC 12 via NCU 10 public line, using high priority service, (i.e.,

prescribed information, col. 3, lines 45-55+)."

- 4 -

Art Unit: 2625

Request for Reconsideration

Attorney Docket No.: 042048

However, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is clearly mischaracterizing the

features of the Bannai reference, since once the message of an urgent facsimile is received and

displayed on the PC 12, the Bannai reference fails to disclose that such message is transmitted,

since the PC 12 is the destination user for the displayed information. Moreover, it is submitted

that the Examiner's characterization that the facsimile unit of Fig. 2 transmit messages to the PC

12 via the NCU 10 public line, using high priority service, is a clear mischaracterization of the

Bannai reference, since as shown in Fig. 1 of the Bannai reference, the PC 12 is connected to the

facsimile device via the PC interface 11, and not through the NCU 10 via the public line as

suggest by the Examiner.

In view of the above, it is submitted that Bannai fails to anticipate claim 1, since such

reference fails to disclose or fairly suggest the features of claim 1 regarding an instant message

generating unit which generates an instant message from the prescribed information; and a

transmission unit which transmits the generated instant message to a client that can use instant

message service.

In view of the above remarks, Applicants submit that the claims are in condition for

allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

- 5 -

Application No.: 10/806,243

Request for Reconsideration

Art Unit: 2625 Attorney Docket No.: 042048

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Thomas E. Brown Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/nrp/rf